

SUZANNE A. LUBAN
Attorney At Law
State Bar No. 120629
3758 Grand Ave. #4
Oakland, California 94610
Telephone 510/832-3555

Attorney for Defendant
RUBEN CAMPOS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	D.C. No. CR 05-00117-PHJ
Plaintiff,)	(San Francisco)
vs.)	STIPULATION TO
DAVID DOMINGUEZ, et al.)	MODIFY CONDITIONS
Defendant.)	OF PRETRIAL RELEASE
_____)	AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
	Judge: Hon. Joseph C. Spero

Defendant DAVID DOMINGUEZ, by and through his counsel Michael L. Hinckley, defendant RUBEN CAMPOS, by and through his counsel Suzanne A. Luban, and the United States of America, through Assistant United States Attorney C. David Hall, hereby stipulate and agree to a modification of the aforementioned defendants' pretrial release conditions such that they be permitted to travel to all districts in the State of California without restriction. Pretrial Services Officer Tim Elder, has advised counsel for Mr. Campos that he has no objection to the proposed modification. Mr. Campos and Mr. Dominguez are both on pretrial release secured by property bonds. Both defendants recently entered pleas pursuant to negotiated plea agreements.

The Government and the defendant, by and through their attorneys, hereby agree and stipulate that the Court shall enter an order modifying the pretrial release conditions of Mr. Campos and Mr. Dominguez to allow the defendants to travel

1 throughout the State of California without restriction. The defendants shall continue
2 to abide by all other conditions of their release.

3
4 Respectfully submitted,

5
6 DATED: January 6, 2006

/S/ C. David Hall
C. DAVID HALL
Assistant U.S. Attorney

7
8
9 DATED: January 6, 2006

/S/ Suzanne A. Luban
SUZANNE A. LUBAN
Attorney for Defendant Campos

10
11
12 DATED: January 6, 2006

/S/ Michael L. Hinckley
MICHAEL L. HINCKLEY
Attorney for Defendant Dominguez

13
14
15 _____
16
17 **ORDER**

18 Pursuant to stipulation, the aforementioned defendants' pretrial release
19 conditions are modified such that they are permitted to travel throughout the State of
20 California without restriction during the pendency of this case. All other terms and
21 conditions are to remain the same.

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23 Dated: January 9, 2006

24 
25 _____
JOSEPH C. SPERO
U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
26
27
28